

Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

Claudia E. Rübe, Homburg/Saar, Germany

**Radiation-induced brain injury:** 

**Current concepts of neurocognitive dysfunction following radiotherapy** 

Workshop: Individual Reponse to Ionizing Radiation, 1.- 2. September 2022, Stockholm





**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

The developing brain is extremely sensitive to IR exposure, especially within specific developmental time-windows



radiation-induced DNA damage

- $\rightarrow$  apoptosis of proliferating progenitor cells
- in critical phases of neurocognitive development



Seite 3 15.09.2022

Verreet T, Verslegers M et al. 2016

#### Life Span Study (LSS) research program investigating Fallout from the atomic bombing in Hiroshima and Nagasaki life-long health effects based on epidemiologic studies. Fallout from the atomic bombings in Hiroshima and Nagasaki Otake et al., 1991 Cohort Atomic bomb 1 673 Gamma-rays and neutrons from the In uterus Fetus dose General cognition (IQ) 10-11 years IQ analysis survivors (Japan) atomic blast < 0.01 Gy 72%; 0.01-0.09 Gy 14.5%; 0.1-0.49 Gy 10%; 0.5-1 Gy 10-11 years Proxy of **Individuals exposed in utero** to atomic bomb radiation neurodevelopment perf 1 Gy $\frac{Otake et}{SMF} \rightarrow disturbed brain development with mental retardation$ Proxy of Less than 17 years neurodevelopment Gv $_{\text{Voshima}} \rightarrow$ highest risks during weeks 8–15 of gestation. Motor domains (MO) 15-16 years



exposed as children was associated with age, but not clearly with radiation dose.



Neurodevelopmental effects of low dose IR exposure

Location of individual survivors in the LSS superimposed on a city map with color denoting estimated radiation dose ranges (red=>1000 mGy; orange=500–1000 mGy; yellow=200–500 mGy; brown=5–100 mGy; pink=<5 mGy). Rings represent 2- / 3-km distances from hypocenter (+).

Atomic bombing in Hiroshima and Nagasaki

Between 60 and

80 years old



\$

General cognition (CASI

score)

11%; 0.1-0.49 Gy 13%; 0.5-1 Gy 2.5%; > 1Gy 1.5%

Mean (SD): 434 (727) mGy; 15%

above 1 Gy brain dose



Radiation Effects Research Foundation, Hiroshima, Japan:

#### Neurodevelopmental effects of low dose IR exposure



UKS Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

Reference	Methods	5			Exposure	Outcome			
	Study design	Study population and location	Sample size	Sex (Male %)	Type of exposure	Age at exposure	Dose distribution	Outcome	Age at outcome measurement
Medically exposed populo	ation								
Ron et al., 1982	Cohort	Tinea capitis cohort (Israel)	27,084 (10842 irradiated	49%	Radiotherapy for benign disease	Mean =	Mean brain dose ranged from 0.7 to 1.6 Gy (Ron et al., 1988)	General cognition (score of military test);	10-20 years for education
			10,842 controls and to 5400 siblings)			/ years		achievement; mental diseases)	analysis; 17 years fo IQ; 9-34 for mental diseases
Hall et al., 2004	Cohort	Cutaneous	2,816	100%	Radiotherapy for benign disease	Mean =	Median dose to the	General cognition &	18 years
		haemangioma cohort					brain = 20 mGy	cognitive domains [V,L]	
		(Sweden)				7 months	(range 0-2800 mGy)	(score of military test); Education achievement	
Zeltzer et al., 2008	Cohort	Childhood cancer	7,147	49%	Radiotherapy for malignant disease	Median =	No radiotherapy 33.6%;	Socio-emotional domains	32 years (median)
		survivors (United					Radiotherapy other then cranial	(Self-reported test)	
		States and Canada)				7 years (range 0-20)	35%; Cranial radiotherapy 30%		
Krull et al., 2012	Cohort	Childhood HI. survivors (United	62	NR	Radiotherapy for malignant disease	Mean =	39% < 30 Gy and 61% > 30 Gy to the thorax (*)	General cognition; brain pathological features	42.2 years
		States)				15 years		(MRI)	
van der Geest et al., 2013	Cohort	Childhood cancer survivors (The	1,092 (652 childhood	56%	Radiotherapy for malignant disease	Median =	7% of survivors received limbs/ abdomen radiotherapy (very low	Socio-emotional domains (self-reported test)	23 years (median)
		Netherlands)	cancer survivors; 440 controls)			6 years	brain dose)		
Blomstrand et al., 2014	Cohort	Cutaneous haemangioma cohort	3,030	100%	Radiotherapy for benign disease	Median =	Median dose to the brain $= 20 \text{ mGy}$	General cognition & cognitive domains [V, L]	18 years
		(Sweden)				5 months		(score of military test); Education achievement	
Nordenskjöld et al., 2015	Cohort	Maternal x-ray pelvimetry cohort (Sweden)	46,066	51%	Diagnostic x-ray exposure	In uterus	3.5% exposed to pelvimetry (estimated fetal dose 1.5 mGy)	Education achievement	15 years
Salonen et al., 2018	Cohort	CT scan exposed cohort (Sweden)	147	54%	Diagnostic x-ray exposure (CT-scan)	Mean =	For a single head CT-scan, the estimated brain dose is 30 and	Cognitive domains [A, E, LM, P, V]; Motor domain	17.8 years
							F0 0 0 1 00100		

#### Medically exposed population

Tinea capitis cohort

Cutaneous haemangioma cohort

٠

•

Diagnostic X-ray exposure:

 $\rightarrow$  mainly historical research collectives

- Maternal X-ray pelvimetry/ •
- CT scan exposed cohort •

#### Radiotherapy outside the brain/ head

Childhood Cancer Survivor •

#### Neurodevelopmental effects of low dose IR exposure

	Methods				Exposure			Outcome	
Reference	Study design	Study population and location	Sample size	Sex (Male %)	Type of exposure	Age at exposure	Dose distribution	Outcome	Age at outcome measurement
Environmental disaster ex	posure								
Nyagu et al., 1998	Cohort	Chernobyl evacuees (Ukraine)	1,339	52%	Evacuees (30 km zone) & children living in strict control zones and moderate contamination areas (with Cs deposition density > $37 \text{ kBq/m}^2$ ) (#)	In uterus	40% in the exposed group (21% of which were evacuees, 43% residents of contaminated areas), 60% from clean territories	General cognition (IQ), Social-emotional (parent reporting)	6-8 years old
Loganovskaja and Loganovsky, 1999	Cohort	Chernobyl evacuees (Ukraine) (randomly selected from Nyagu (1998)	100	53%	Evacuated from the 30 km exclusion zone of Chernobyl power plant (#)	In uterus	50% evacuee; 50% non-exposed	General cognition (IQ), Social-emotional (parent reporting, emotional/ behaviour disorders)	9-10 years
Igumnov and Drozdovitch, 2000; Kolominsky	Cohort	Chemobyl evacuees (Belarus)	500	51%	Evacuated in 1991–93 from areas with a <sup>137</sup> Cs soil deposition density ranging from 100 to 15400 kBq/m <sup>2</sup>	In uterus	50% evacuees (< = 3 Gy to the thyroid) living in Minsk; 50% non-evacuee (Cs deposition	General cognition (IQ), Social-emotional and motor domain	6-7 year (First exam); 10-12 year (Second exam)

## 2006 WHO report: Health Effects of the Chernobyl Accidents

No damage to the brain development of unborn babies and infants

#### through IR exposure from the Chernobyl disaster

		exposed emigrants (Israel)			other Belarus areas contaminated with <sup>137</sup> Cs	4 years at the time of the accident	deposition density: 40 to 1480 kBq/m <sup>2</sup> ). Others from area with $< 37 \text{ kBq/m}^2$		
Almond et al., 2009	Cohort	Chernobyl fallout exposure (Sweden)	562,637	NR	Born between 1983 and 1988 in areas of Sweden with different contamination levels	In uterus	3% from highly contaminated area ( <sup>137</sup> Cs deposition density: 44.2 kBq/m <sup>2</sup> ). Highest dose to Swedish population estimated to be 4 mGy (Edvarson and Moberg, 1991)	Proxy (School achievement)	16 years
Heiervang et al., 2010a, 2010b	Cohort	Chernobyl fallout exposure (Norway)	178	49%	Norway residents born soon after the Chernobyl accident. Mean external radiation estimate for the exposed areas is 0.935 mSv	In uterus or < 18 months	48% of participants were residents in the most contaminated areas (average dose 0.94 mGy; the comparison group lived in	Heiervang et al., 2010a: General cognition (IQ) & cognitive domains [I, V]; Heiervang et al., 2010b: Cognitive domains [A, E, I, P,V]	Median 18.4 years (range 16.3-20 y)
							areas of low contamination (average dose 0.01 mGy)		
Black et al., 2013	Cohort	Nuclear weapon testing in the Russian Arctic Archipelago 1955-62 (Norway)	603,294	49%	Born between 1956 and 1966. Residents of areas with different contamination levels	In uterus (8-16 weeks of gestation)	Mean (SD) total beta radiation ground deposition for the men sample: 59.76 (91.01) kBq/m <sup>2</sup> ); for women: 64.77 (98.39) kBq/m <sup>2</sup>	General cognition (score of military test); Education achievement	Adolescence to young adulthood

Difficulties in determining and reconstructing levels of external and internal radiation doses !

Lie et al., 2017	Cohort	Chernobyl fallout	166,967 exposed NR	Exposure during the 5th gestational In uterus	Exposed category: < 0.01 mSv	Proxy (mental disorder	Mental retardation
		exposure (Norway)	148,744 non-	month	52.5%; 0.01-0.015 31.7%; 0.016-	prevalence, school	(5 years); high
			exposed		0.023 mSv 11%; > =0.024 mSv	achievement)	school completion
					5.2% (##)		(20 y), school grade
							(16 x)

#### Environmental disaster exposure

et al.

United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR)



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

#### Chernobyl accident 26 April 1986



Fallout: radioiodine (<sup>131</sup>I) & radiocaesium (<sup>137</sup>Cs)  $\rightarrow$  relative low doses (0.01- 0.25 Sv) prenatally irradiated children  $\rightarrow$  cognitive impairments? inconsistent findings  $\rightarrow$  ongoing debate !

Since 1980's, average annual IR dose to the general population has nearly doubled

→ increase in medical radiation exposure (NCRP Report No. 160, 2009; UNSCEAR 2021, 2013, 2008)

National Council of Radiation Protection and Measurement (NCRP)





**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

#### Before the era of conformal radiotherapy ...

- whole-brain  $RT \rightarrow entire$  brain and brainstem
- partial-brain RT → tumour or tumour bed & surrounding margin
- $\rightarrow$  large areas of healthy brain exposed to high doses

#### Increased conformality of modern RT techniques

- 3D Conformal Radiotherapy
- Intensity-modulated Radiotherapy (IMRT)
- Image-Guided Radiotherapy (IGRT)
- Tomotherapy
- Stereotactic Radiosurgery

#### $\rightarrow$ significantly less serious CNS toxicities

Seite 8 15.09.2022

 $\rightarrow$  severe radiation-induced CNS toxicities





**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

### Conformal radiotherapy (RT) $\rightarrow$ brain cancer patients

- $\rightarrow$  fractionated RT  $\rightarrow$  repetitive IR exposure
- $\rightarrow$  high cumulative doses to the tumour ( $\approx$  60 Gy)
- $\rightarrow$  low-to-moderate doses to healthy brain tissue
- $\rightarrow$  dose distribution depends on the RT technique

In last 20 years, the use of 3D conformal radiotherapy reduced the amount of brain tissue treated to high-dose levels.

 $\rightarrow$  opportunity to minimize RT injury to healthy brain substructures critical for neurocognitive function

#### Glioblastom grade IV: RT planning with dose-volume-histogram



90% isodose encloses the target volume $\rightarrow$  dose homogeneity in tumor large volumes of healthy brain  $\rightarrow$  exposed to low-moderate doses

#### **Childhood cancer survivors**

→ frequently experience cognitive dysfunction months to years after RT

Childhood brain tumors  $\rightarrow$  low-grade glioma & medulloblastoma  $\rightarrow$  most frequently observed brain tumours in children with high survival rates

Children receiving RT for their cancer  $\rightarrow$  greater cognitive impairment than those who undergo surgery and/or chemotherapy without IR

RT doses and field sizes  $\rightarrow$  highly associated with development of cognitive dysfunction

Younger age at treatment is the most important patient-related risk factor

Due to treatment modifications  $\rightarrow$  prevalence and severity of cognitive dysfunction in survivors of childhood cancer has declined over the last decades

#### Acute lymphatic leukaemia (ALL)

With realization that cranial RT in ALL is causally related with IQ decline  $\rightarrow$  dose of prophylactic RT was systematically reduced and eventually RT was completely removed from therapy regimes



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

Mulhern, Merchant et al. 2004 Castellino, Ullrich et al. 2014

Merchant, Conklin et al. 2009 Padovani, Andre et al. 2012

Packer 2002

Meadows, Gordon et al. 1981, Duffner 2010

Duffner 2004, Mabbott, Spiegler et al. 2005 Castellino, Ullrich et al. 2014

Pui and Howard 2008 Richards, Pui et al. 2013

Seite 11 15.09.2022

Adult cancer survivors: relationship between RT & cognitive dysfunction

Brain tumour survivors irradiated as adults

 $\rightarrow$  progressive cognitive dysfunction and accelerated cognitive decline

High-grade Glioma (50% of all primary brain tumors)

most aggressive malignant primary brain tumor  $\rightarrow$  poor prognosis

Metastatic Brain Tumors  $\rightarrow$  mainly from lung and breast cancer, or melanoma

 $\rightarrow$  due to early tumor progression no long-term effects on neurocognitive function can be recorded

Low-grade Glioma:

- $\rightarrow$  prolonged survival  $\rightarrow$  experience neurocognitive impairment from RT
- $\rightarrow$  most studies evaluating the relationship between RT and cognitive impairment

prospective trials: neurocognitive deficits → multifactorial genesis !

 $\rightarrow$  tumour-related factors and other treatment-related factors

Olson, Riedel et al. 2000 Surma-aho, Niemela et al. 2001 Postma, Klein et al. 2002 Correa, Shi et al. 2008, Douw, Klein et al. 2009

Armstrong, Hunter et al. 2002 Klein, Heimans et al. 2002 Brown, Buckner et al. 2003 Laack, Brown et al. 2005



Scoccianti. Detti et al. 2012

UKS Universides S

Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

### Cancer survivors: relationship between RT & cognitive dysfunction



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

#### Literature review

adequate follow-up of several years only in patients with

- low-grade gliom •
- pediatric brain tu

	Sı	r <mark>. No</mark> .	Type of Cancer Survivors	No. of Patients Exposed	Average Age (Years)	Type of Radiotherapy Given	Convalescence after Exposure (Median Time)	Impact/Outcome	Ref.	
)		1	Metastatic brain cancer survivors	28	64	Stereotactic radiosurgery (20 Gy) + WBRT 30 Gy (12 fractions of 2.5 Gy per day)	4 months	Verbal learning and memory decline	[62]	
า		2	With and without metastatic brain cancer survivors	44	43	WBRT - 40 Gy (20 fractions)	6-8 weeks	Verbal memory decline	[109]	ין
a		3	Metastatic brain	81	65	WBRT 40 Gy (2 Gy five times a	4 weeks	Cognitive decline	[110]	
umors		4	Low grade glioma survivors	32	41	Focal radiotherapy/ WBRT 30-69 Gy (21-43 fraction of 2Gy)	12 years	Cognitive decline	[111]	
		5	Primary brain tu- mour survivors	57	47	Partial/ whole brain irradiation 10, 40 and 60 Gy (1.8-2.0 Gy/fraction)	6 months	Cognitive decline	[112]	
		6	Benign or low- grade adult brain tumor survivors	29	56	Fractionated stereotactic radiother- apy 50.4-54 Gy (28-30 fractions of 1.8 Gy)	18 months	Verbal memory decline	[113]	
		7	Low-grade glioma survivors	78	9.7	Cranial radiotherapy 54 Gy (1.8 Gy per fraction)	6 weeks	Cognitive decline and hear- ing loss	[114]	
		8	Breast cancer survivors	51	47	Adjuvant regional radiotherapy 50 Gy (25 treatments)	7 months	Verbal memory decline and delayed recall index	[115]	
		9	Nasopharyngeal cancer survivors	102	56	Intensity-modulated radiotherapy 70 Gy (35 fractions of 2 Gy)	7.5 years	Neurocognitive impairment and clinically significant apathy, disinhibition and executive dysfunction	[116]	
	1	10	Head and Neck Cancer Survivors	80	59	70 Gy in 35 fractions	2 years	Neurocognitive sequelae	[117]	
		11	Primary brain lym- phoma survivors	118	52	WBRT five fractions of 180 cGy ner week	2 years	Cognitive impairment	[118]	
		12	Pediatric brain tumor survivors	39	12	Proton beam radiotherapy 55.80 Gy for craniospinal and 50.40 Gy for focal irradiation	>2 years	The decline in Attention, processing speed and exec- utive functioning	[119]	
		13	Pediatric brain tumor survivors	224	26	Craniospinal irradiation 35.2 Gy and whole brain radiation 23.4 Gy	18 years	Severe neurocognitive im- pairment	[120]	
9.2022		14	Nasopharyngeal cancer survivors	100	50	Intensity-modulated radiotherapy	5 years	Cognitive functioning, so- cial functioning, fatigue, neuropathy, hearing loss, dysphagia, xerostomia, and neck fibrosis	[121]	

Seite 12 15.0

#### Adult cancer survivors: relationship between RT & cognitive dysfunction



IIKS Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

prophylactic whole-brain radiotherapy

 $\rightarrow$  to prevent or delay the spread of cancer cells to the brain

standard care for small-cell lung cancer showing complete response to front-line chemotherapy

recent clinical trial: prophylactic whole-brain RT for small-cell lung cancer  $\rightarrow$  no survival benefits but increased risk of neurocognitive decline affecting quality of life Halthore, Goenka et al. 2018

Reducing radiation dose to the hippocampus with hippocampal avoidance prophylactic cranial irradiation (HA-PCI) -> to prevent cognitive decline



```
de Ruiter, Groot et al. 2022
```

**Total-body irradiation**  $\rightarrow$  allogeneic bone marrow transplantation for haematological malignancies

cumulative doses  $\leq 12 \text{ Gy} \rightarrow \text{cognitive deficits in long-term survivors}$ 

#### Neurodegeneration

Radiation-induced brain injury  $\rightarrow$  premature brain aging ?

 $\rightarrow$  may predispose to neurodegenerative disorders? including Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease

LSS on A-bomb survivors  $\rightarrow$  no effect of IR

Yamada et al. 2016 & 2019

on cognitive decline at older age Analyses of radiation risks are underway, but not yet

available 15.09.2022

exposure



Harder, Duivenvoorden et al. 2006

CONTRACTOR OF CO

**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

Treatment variables defining RT-related neurotoxicity

- total and fractional doses
- extent of target volumes
- localization of target fields
- RT techniques

Additional causes of neurocognitive decline

tumour-related factors

(tumour localisation, tumour size and histology, disease progression)

other treatment-related factors

(neurosurgery, use of anti-epileptic drugs, parenteral or intrathecal chemotherapy multiple exposures to anesthesia)

patient-related factors

(age at treatment, pre-existing co-morbidities)

Data interpretation often difficult

→ impossible differentiation between adverse side effects of RT from underlying cancer disease or concomitant tumour therapy

Olson et al., 2000 Postma et al., 2002 Surma-aho et al., 2001 Correa et al., 2008; Douw et al., 2009





Strong heterogeneity across studies with regard to radiation exposure and outcome assessment

Following information is required for a clear assessments

- Radiation exposure type (e.g. radiotherapy techniques, accidental or diagnostic exposure)
- Radiation exposure metrics (e.g. radiation quality, prescribed/ exposed dose, mean organ dose, effective dose)
- Exposure data source (e.g. medical records, scientifically-based calculations, determined dosimetrically)

Cognitive dysfunction  $\rightarrow$  symptom complex characterized by

- decline in full scale intelligence quotient (IQ)
- impairment in core functional domains
- behavioural changes
- $\rightarrow$  compromise social / academic performance and quality of life

#### Problems with neurocognitive testing:

- lack of standardised and validated examination methods
- missing neurocognitive pre-treatment status
- reduced patient compliance

 $\rightarrow$  high risk of bias, lack of internal and/or external validation

- attention or vigilance
- working memory
- executive functioning (e.g. planning and organization)
- information processing speed
- visual-motor integration
- learning deficits, etc.

#### Standardized psychometric tests

- $\rightarrow$  to detect subtle deficits
- in intelligence or neurodevelopmental function.



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

P39, non-Irradiated VCX / GFAP / SOX2

Neuroprogenitors (DCX<sup>+</sup>) and astrocytes (GFAP<sup>+</sup>/SOX2<sup>+</sup>) in non-irradiated vs. irradiated hippocampi



With all confounding factors in epidemiological studies

 $\rightarrow$  difficult to draw clear conclusions

No mechanistic insights can be gained from epidemiological studies !

Preclinical mouse models are needed to work out

 $\rightarrow$  complex pathomechanisms of radiation-induced brain damage

 $\rightarrow$  clear dose concepts for radiation protection

 $\textbf{RT} \rightarrow \textbf{\textit{ø}}$  single radiation event

Effect of repetitive low-dose IR exposure on specific brain substructures  $\rightarrow$  mouse model with fractionated low-dose radiation (LDR)

Seite 16 15.09.2022

## Fractionated low-dose radiation (LDR)



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes



## Adult neurogenesis in the hippocampal stem cell niche

→ generation of new neurons from neural stem cells throughout life in the subgranular zone (SGZ) of hippocampal dentate gyrus



15.09.2022

Seite 18



# Hippocampus $\rightarrow$ consolidation of information from short-term to long-term memory



specific markers identify subpopulations  $\rightarrow$  during different stages of neurogenesis

## Radiation-induced DNA damage: Quantification of DNA damage foci



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

Gyrus dentate: non-irradiated versus irradiated (2Gy, 0.5h post-IR):



Radiation-induced DNA damage  $\rightarrow$  quantification of 53BP1-foci within hippocampal neurons.

## Radiation-induced DNA damage: persisting DNA damage foci



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes



## Quantification of DCX+ neuroprogenitors and their dendritic arborization



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes



## Quantification of SOX2+ stem/ progenitor cells





Seite 22 15.09.2022

Schmal, Isermann et al. 2019

## Proteom analysis of juvenile hippocampus by label-free LC/MS-MS



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes



Volcano plots  $\rightarrow$  distributions of non-regulated and deregulated proteins at different time-points after fractionated LDR. Shared proteins are presented in the Venn diagram



CREB signaling with downstream targets BDNF and ARC → key role in promoting neuronal survival, neuronal proliferation, differentiation

Down-regulation of CREB-signaling directly after LDR  $\rightarrow$  radiation-induced genotoxic insults suppress neurogenesis late-term CREB-activation  $\rightarrow$  stimulate neuronal cell proliferation/differentiation  $\rightarrow$  promote functional regeneration Hippocampal neurogenesis during and after fractionated LDR



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

→ age-dependent decline in hippocampal neurogenesis with most pronounced decrease in the number of neuroprogenitors

 $\rightarrow$  repeated LDR leads to persistent injury of hippocampal neurogenesis, clearly more pronounced in young mice

 $\rightarrow$  may compromise hippocampus-dependent learning and memory

DNA damage accumulation during fractionated low-dose radiation compromises hippocampal neurogenesis. Schmal Z, Isermann A, Hladik D, von Toerne C, Tapio S, Rübe CE. Radiother Oncol. 2019 Aug;137:45-54.

Seite 24 15.09.2022

### Dynamic interactions between neurons and glia cells



#### In the brain: glia cells modulate neuronal functions by supporting neuronal activity and neurotransmission



Damaged neuron

#### **Astrocytes**

- $\rightarrow$  provide neurotrophic factors to neurons
- $\rightarrow$  regulate cerebral blood flow
- $\rightarrow$  involved in repair processes following traumatic injuries

**Microglia**  $\rightarrow$  brain-resident innate immune effector cells  $\rightarrow$  sense tissue damage and initiate inflammatory responses

#### Oligodendrocytes

 $\rightarrow$  produce myelin sheath around neuronal axons

**Neuroinflammation**  $\rightarrow$  glia cells are major mediators of neuroinflammation

 $\rightarrow$  coordinate the dynamic processes of inflammation and regeneration

## Dynamic interactions between neurons and glia cells



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

Neuroprogenitors (DCX+) and astrocytes (GFAP/SOX2+) in non-irradiated versus irradiated hippocampi



Neuroprogenitors: intact dendritic arborization with processes extending through granular cell layers Astrocytes: normal morphologies



Neuroprogenitors: reduced dendritic arborization Astrocytes: altered, hypertrophied morphologies (increased cell body size, extensive branching of GFAP-positive processes)

## Astrocytes after fractionated LDR



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes





Quantification of astrocytes after fractionated LDR

- $\rightarrow$  initial up-regulation (72h post-IR)
- $\rightarrow$  subsequent down-regulation (1m and 3m post-IR)
- $\rightarrow$  normalization at 6m post-IR

 $\rightarrow$  radiation-induced effects more pronounced in juvenile hippocampi

## Astrocytes after fractionated LDR: GFAP protein expression





Reactive astrocytes generally increase the production of *glial fibrillary acidic protein* (GFAP)

#### GFAP immunoblotting

- $\rightarrow$  radiation-induced variations GFAP protein expression:
- $\rightarrow$  acute increase at 72h post-IR,
- $\rightarrow$  significant decline at 1m and 3m post-IR.

 $\rightarrow$  chronic stress-induced atrophy of astrocytes with decreased GFAP expression.

Seite 28 15.09.2022

## Microglia after fractionated LDR



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes





Following fractionated LDR

microglia numbers significantly elevated at 72h and 1m post-IR

After radiation-induced injury

 $\rightarrow$  microglia remove cell debris of damaged neuroprogenitors

## Oligodendrocytes after fractionated LDR



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes





 $\rightarrow$  significantly increased numbers of oligodendrocytes at 72h and 1m post-IR

→ oligodendrocytes support myelin maintenance and repair after radiation-induced neuronal damage

Seite 30 15.09.2022



Seite 31 15.09.2022

Schmal, Hammer et al. 2021

## MRI study: in-vivo imaging of the brain after fractionated LDR



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes



Seite 32 15.09.2022

Preclinical mouse model of fractionated LDR  $\rightarrow$  even low doses induce long-lasting inflammatory responses in the hippocampal region, with local increases of activated microglia, reactive astrocytes and myelinating oligodendrocytes

Glial activation with subsequent release of pro-inflammatory mediators increased local blood circulation and vascular permeability, as supported by functional MRI analysis.

radiation-induced inflammatory reaction → associated with the deterioration of neuronal progenitors

Higher numbers of stem/ progenitor cells in the developing brain  $\rightarrow$  enhance inherent vulnerability of hippocampal neurogenesis,  $\rightarrow$  explain enhanced inflammatory reaction in juvenile brain due to more pronounced neuronal damage

 $\rightarrow$  radiation-induced injury to the neural stem cell compartment may contribute to the development of neurocognitive decline

Fractionated Low-Dose Radiation Induces Long-Lasting Inflammatory Responses in the Hippocampal Stem Cell Niche. Schmal Z, Hammer B, Müller A, Rübe CE. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2021 Dec 1;111(5):1262-1275.

## rogenitors

Schmal, Hammer et al. 2021





#### Pathomechanisms of radiation-induced brain injury



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes



 $\rightarrow$  multiple other factors are implicated in the aetiology of radiation-induced brain injury



**UKS** Universitätsklinikum des Saarlandes

## Thank you for your attention !



Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung

Seite 35 15.09.2022